12th Annual LDS Philanthropies Gift Planning Council Conference

For a Wise Purpose WHAT CAN GO WRONG & HOW TO FIX IT.

Carol Warnick, Kristin Keffeler David Grant, and Layne Rushforth

Who said this?

"All happy families are alike: each unhappy family is unhappy in its own way."

Anna

arenina



Estate litigation battles are often outgrowths of unhappy families

TYPICAL THINGS THAT CAN GO WRONG

>Invalidity of Will of Trust

Lack of capacity

Lack of legal formalities

➤Undue influence



PROBLEMS WITH DOCUMENT DRAFTING

- Ambiguities
- Settlor's intent is not clear
- Inappropriate tax apportionment provisions
- Unenforceable or conflicting provisions

Please Please Embalm Cremte Bury

UNFORESEEN CIRCUMSTANCES
Changes in family relationships
Changes in asset values
Inaccurate forecast of income and/or appreciation

>When a family member does something you

don't expect



Nor tax advice or planning
Problems with disclaimers
Unworkable tax apportionment clauses
Poor cash-flow planning



FIDUCIARY ISSUES Improper administration Failure to account and inform Self-dealing/conflicts of interests

Actions contrary to law or governing document



FIDUCIARY ISSUES CONT: >Other breaches of fiduciary duties Imprudent investing Lack of impartiality Principal and income disputes Lack of attention to the UPIA

What is the UPIA????

AVOIDING PROBLEMS - 1 Building the <u>collaborative</u> team Fiduciaries Advisors Legal and accounting Investments Trust protector Beneficiary Coach/Advisor Designation of successors Removal and replacement

AVOIDING PROBLEMS - 2

Avoiding surprises through proactive communication

- Set expectations about what will/won't happen when the plan is enacted
 - Don't avoid just because it is uncomfortable
- Declaration of grantor's intent:
 - Desired consequences
 - Shared values
 - Encouraged conduct
 - Discouraged conduct

Avoiding surprises through proactive education

- Grantor education
- Beneficiary education
- Build foundational trust/estate/financial skills and vernacular

AVOIDING PROBLEMS - 4 Have the courage to build and strengthen relationships before it's too late Invest in family meetings Hire trained facilitators to help everyone build the skills to have tough conversations Be sure to celebrate the gifts of the individuals within the family

Be sure to celebrate the strength of the family

CASE STUDY #1: DR. DAN Surgeon; profitable surgical centers Wyoming "hobby ranch"; cattle and bison >12-year second marriage to Wilma Sons involved in ranch, but not daughter Desires for \$25 million estate: Medical school to build new wing in Dan's honor; \$1.5 million to Wilma; Children receive balance.

DR. DAN'S PLAN >Dr. Dan implements a plan so that: Part of ranch is to be sold for bequests to medical school and Wilma; Balance of ranch to be retained and run by his sons. Provisions for daughter are vague. >Dan implements plan without telling his children.

AFTER DR. DAN'S DEATH Sons challenge gift to wife and medical school, asserting Lack of sufficient mental capacity Undue influence on part of estate-planning attorney Potential problems with share for daughter.

CASE STUDY #2: SCIENTIST RONALD
Ron is a successful research scientist.
Ron pledges millions of dollars to a university, and the university builds a building that is named in his honor.

Only some of the funds are paid prior to Ron's death.

Ron engages an attorney to prepare a trust, and the trust does not mention the charitable pledge. Children are residuary beneficiaries.

AFTER RON'S DEATH >Ron's daughter is the trustee. >What are the trustee's responsibilities to the university? Possible options: Post-death judicial actions for instructions, reformation, and/or declaratory relief. Mediation and arbitration among all interested parties. Malpractice against drafting attorney.

CASE STUDY #3: SAMUEL & LISA \triangleright No children; few relatives; charitably inclined. Charitable remainder unitrust (NIMCRUT) Kerfuffle with bank trustee: Bank resigns; Substitute bank is designated; >Things change: Charities fall into disfavor; Beneficiaries die and also fall out of favor; Frank comes into the picture.

PLAN CHANGES

Frank is designated trustee and residuary beneficiary of revocable trust.

Frank is the trustee of the NIMCRUT, and charities created by his wife are the beneficiaries.

Advice of attorney to take steps to reduce litigation are ignored.

LISA DIES; SAMUEL IS INCOMPETENT Frank is challenged as Samuel's guardian. >NIMCRUT trustee challenges changes: Undue influence by Frank; Lack of mental capacity. Eliminated beneficiaries challenge the trust on the same grounds.

PREVENTION OR CURE?

Preventative:

- Competency evaluation;
- Pre-mortem declaratory relief.
- Preparation for litigation:
 - Declaration of intent;
 - Second legal opinion;
 - Video declaration;
 - Affidavits of potential witnesses.

12th Annual LDS Philanthropies Gift Planning Council Conference

For a Wise Purpose

WHAT CAN GO WRONG & HOW TO FIX IT.

CONCLUSION

Thank you for your time and attention